# 

JS 44 (Rev. 04/21)

AMOUNT

RECEIPT#

## **CIVIL COVER SHEET**

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the

purpose of initiating the civil do	ocket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE	OF THIS FORM.)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS		DEFENDANTS	DEFENDANTS		
Unitedhealthcar	e Insurance Company	Josh Horton and	Josh Horton and Michelle Horton		
(b) County of Residence o	of First Listed Plaintiff Minnetonka. Minn ECEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)	NOTE: IN LAND CO	of First Listed Defendant Harris County (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF OF LAND INVOLVED.		
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A	Address, and Telephone Number)	Attorneys (If Known)			
Kyle A. Ferachi,	Hinshaw & Culbertson, LLP				
5151 San Felipe	e, Suite 1380, Houston, TX 77056	ANGARAN GARATTA ANGAR ANTANAN			
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place on "X" in One Box Only)	III. CITIZENSHIP OF PR	RINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)		
U.S. Government Plaintiff	(U.S. Government Not a Party)	Citizen of This State	F DEF PTF DEF		
2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	2		
100 (00 to 10 to 1		Citizen or Subject of a Poreign Country	3 3 Foreign Nation 6 6		
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Box Only)		Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions.		
CONTRACT	TORTS	FORKET FURE/PENAL IN	BANKRUPICY OTHER ATUTES		
110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise  REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability	PERSONAL INJURY  310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury Medical Malpractice  PRISONAL INJURY 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Persona Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPEI 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending Property Damage 385 Property Damage Product Liability Product Liability PRISONER PETFIC 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 4530 General	of Property 21 USC 881  690 Other  710 Fair Labor Standards Act 720 Labor/Management Relations 740 Railway Labor Act 751 Family and Medical Leave Act 790 Other Labor Litigation 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act	422 Appeal 28 USC 158   423 Withdrawal		
290 All Other Real Property  V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" i	445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 448 Education  448 Education  535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Ot 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	Actions	26 USC 7609  Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes		
Original 2 Removed from 3 Remanded from 4 Reinstated or 5 Transferred from 6 Multidistrict Litigation - Litigation - Litigation - Litigation - Litigation - Direct File    Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity):					
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	291156 8 1001	et seg - E12154	BENEFIT CLAIM		
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTIO UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.	The state of the s	CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint:  JURY DEMAND: Yes No		
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions): JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER		
DATE SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD  2/1/2023  Mills Hettel					
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	E	/ _ 0			

APPLYING IFP

JUDGE

MAG. JUDGE

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS HOUSTON DIVISION

UNITEDHEALTHCARE	§	
INSURANCE COMPANY,	§	
	§	
Plaintiff-in-Interpleader,	§	
	§	
V.	§ C	IVIL ACTION NO. 23-349
	§	
JOSH HORTON and MICHELE	§	
HORTON,	§	
	§	
Defendants-in-Interpleader.	§	

# UNITEDHEALTHCARE INSURANCE COMPANY'S COMPLAINT-IN-INTERPLEADER

NOW COMES Plaintiff-in-Interpleader UnitedHealthcare Insurance Company ("UnitedHealthcare"), by its attorneys, Hinshaw & Culbertson LLP, and for its Complaint for Interpleader against Defendants Josh Horton and Michelle Horton, for interpleader and other relief states as follows:

### **PRELIMINARY STATEMENT**

UnitedHealthcare brings this interpleader action pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 22 and 28 U.S.C. § 1333 to obtain an adjudication as to the competing claims to funds which UnitedHealthcare is holding pursuant to a group life insurance policy and group accidental death and dismemberment insurance policy, which was issued to Zachary Horton's ("Decedent") former employer, CDI Energy Products, LLC, in conjunction with an employee welfare benefit plan within the meaning of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1972 as amended, 28 U.S.C. §1001, et seq. UnitedHealthcare requests that it be allowed to deposit with the Court the

insurance proceeds at issue \$100,000 and \$109,500 totaling \$209,500, and allow the adverse claimants, Josh Horton and Michelle Horton, to litigate the issue of the rightful owner of those proceeds.

### **PARTIES**

- 1. UnitedHealthcare is, and at all times relevant times was, a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Minnesota, with its principal place of business in Minnesota, Minnesota.
- 2. UnitedHealthcare is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Defendant-in-Interpleader Josh Horton is, and at all relevant times was, a resident of Harris County, Texas.
- 3. UnitedHealthcare is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Defendant-in-Interpleader Michelle Horton is, and at all relevant times was, a resident of Harris County, Texas. UnitedHealthcare is similarly informed, believes and therefore alleges that Michelle Horton was formerly married to the Decedent and was divorced on or about October 19, 2016.

#### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 4. This Court has jurisdiction to hear this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331, because this case involves a federal question. Specifically, Defendants-in-Interpleader's claims arise under and/or are preempted by federal law, specifically ERISA.
- 5. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) in that one or more of the Defendants-in-Interpleader reside in this judicial district and a substantial part of the events giving rise to this action occurred in this district.

#### **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

- 6. On information and belief, the Decedent enrolled in an employee benefit plan sponsored by his employer CDI Energy Products, LLC on November 3, 2021. Said plan provided life insurance benefits through group insurance issued by UnitedHealthcare through policy number 305305 ("the Policy"). Decedent designated his brother, Josh Horton, as his sole beneficiary. A true and correct copy of the relevant portions of the Policy are attached hereto as Exhibit "A."
- 7. Prior to his death, Decedent was married to Michelle Horton. The marriage was dissolved through a final decree of divorce on October 19, 2016. On information and belief, a true and correct copy of the divorce decree is attached as Exhibit "B." On further information and belief, Michelle Horton and Decedent had three children of the marriage who were all minors at the time of the divorce.
- 8. The October 19, 2016 divorce decree ordered that Decedent "obtain and maintain a life insurance policy on his or her life for as long as child support is ordered...[t]he person receiving child support under this order must be named as the primary beneficiary for the benefit of the children."
- 9. On information and belief, at the time of Decedent's death all three of his and Michelle Horton's children were under the age of 18.
  - 10. Decedent died on April 2, 2022.
- 11. On or about April 7, 2022, UnitedHealthcare received a claim form from Josh Horton seeking benefits from the Policy. A true and correct copy of Josh Horton's claim form is attached hereto as Exhibit "C."
- 12. On or about May 30, 2022, UnitedHealthcare received a claim form from Michelle Horton seeking benefits from the Policy. A true and correct copy of Michelle Horton's claim form is attached hereto as Exhibit "D."

# FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION FOR INTERPLEADER (Against All Defendants in Interpleader)

- 13. The terms of the Policy requires UnitedHealthcare to pay the life insurance benefits at issue to the beneficiary or beneficiaries designated at the time of Decedent's death.
  - 14. As set forth above, Josh Horton is the primary beneficiary under the subject plan.
- 15. However, the October 19, 2016 divorce decree required Michelle Horton to be named as the beneficiary while child support payments were still being made.
- 16. Both Josh Horton and Michelle Horton have made claims for benefits under the Policy.
- 17. As such, UnitedHealthcare has been presented with competing and adverse claims to the benefits under the Policy. UnitedHealthcare is unable to determine which of the Defendants-in-Interpleader is entitled to the Policy's benefits and is unable to pay out the benefits to Defendants-in-Interpleader without the risk of double liability.
- 18. UnitedHealthcare files this interpleader claim in good faith and without any collusion with any of the parties hereto. UnitedHealthcare claims no interest in the Policy's benefits and is merely a disinterested stakeholder in this action.
- 19. UnitedHealthcare admits its liability under the Policy in the benefit amount of \$209,500 in basic and supplemental life insurance, plus applicable interest, and will deposit these amounts with the Court in connection with these proceedings. UnitedHealthcare is, and always has been, ready, able, and willing to pay these funds to the person(s) who may lawfully be entitled to receive them. However, UnitedHealthcare is unable to determine who is entitled to receive the benefits of the Policy without being faced with the real and reasonable potential of liability to multiple parties seeking the benefits at issue.

UnitedHealthcare has incurred, and will continue to incur, attorneys' fees and costs in

connection with these proceedings.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, UnitedHealthcare prays for judgment against Defendants-in-Interpleader,

and each of them, as follows:

A. That Defendants-in-Interpleader, and each of them, be required to interplead and

litigate among themselves their claims to the life insurance benefits at issue under the Policy;

В. That the Court enter an order restraining Defendants-in-Interpleader, and each of

them, from instituting proceeding in any court against UnitedHealthcare and/or its agents with

respect to the Policy and/or the life insurance benefits due thereunder as a result of Decedent's

death;

C. That this Court enter an order setting forth the proper recipient of the benefits of

the Policy;

That UnitedHealthcare be discharged of all liability with respect to the Policy D.

and/or the life insurance benefits due thereunder as a result of Decedent's death and be dismissed

from the action;

E. That UnitedHealthcare be awarded its costs and reasonable attorneys' fees to be

determined by the Court and paid out of the stake to be deposited with the Court; and

F. For such other and further relief as the Court deems reasonable and just under the

circumstances.

Dated: February 1, 2023

5

## HINSHAW & CULBERTSON LLP

By:

/s/Kyle A. Ferachi Kyle A. Ferachi

Texas Bar No. 24072624 kferachi@hinshawlaw.com 5151 San Felipe, Suite 1380

Houston, TX 77056

Telephone: (346)-344-4500

Attorneys for UnitedHealthCare Insurance Company